



MAHARSHI DAYANAND UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK
(Established under Haryana Act No. XXV of 1975)
'A+' Grade University accredited by NAAC

No. ACS-III/2020/2205-06

Dated: 06-03-2020

To

1. The Head, Deptt. of Commerce,
2. The Head, Deptt. of Economics,
M. D. University, Rohtak.

Sub.: Decision of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh dated 18.02.2020.

Madam,

Copy Please find enclosed herewith the orders passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh dated 18.02.2020 for your information and taking further necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Encls. As above.


Dy. Supdt. (Academic)

Copy to:-

Director, UCC for uploading the website please find enclosed herewith a copy of letter no. MDU/LC/2020/104 dated 28.02.2020 alongwith a copy of judgment your dated 18/2/2020 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh regarding teaching subject of Economics in B.Com.



MAHARSHI DAYANAND UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK
(A State University established under Haryana Act No. 25 of 1975)
NAAC Accredited 'A' Grade

No. MDU/LC/2020/ 104
Dated: 28.02.2020

To

The Asstt. Registrar,
Academic Branch,
M.D. University, Rohtak

Re 8PK
DSF
AESTIL
28/2

2/3/20

- AC-503
28/2/20
- Sub: 1. CWP No. 29686 of 2017 - Poonam & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
2. CWP No. 19808 of 2018 - Neha Narwal & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
3. CWP No. 6957 of 2018 - Sumesh Kumari & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
4. CWP No. 7813 of 2018 - Kamal Devi & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
5. CWP No. 19213 of 2018 - Rekha & Anr. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
6. CWP No. 20835 of 2018 - Mamta & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.
7. CWP No. 2348 of 2018 - Dr. Sadhna Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.

Sir,

I am directed to send herewith a downloaded copy of judgment dated 18.02.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh in the above mentioned cases.

The petitioners are working as Extension Lecturers in Commerce in various Colleges of Haryana. They were aggrieved of a decision taken by the DGHE, Haryana whereby the subject of Economics in B.Com was being permitted to be taught by teachers of Commerce instead of teachers of Economics. The Hon'ble Court has been informed that the impugned decision has since been withdrawn and the matter is now under reconsideration at the hands of DGHE, Haryana. Therefore, the writ petitions are disposed as infructuous.

This is for your kind information and further necessary action, if any.

Yours faithfully,


Supdt., Legal Cell
For Registrar

Encls: As above.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29686 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.02.2020

1. Poonam and others
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
2. Neha Narwal and others
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
CWP No.19808 of 2018 (O&M)
3. Sumesh Kumari and others
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
CWP No.6957 of 2018 (O&M)
4. Kamal Devi and others
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
CWP No.7813 of 2018 (O&M)
5. Rekha and another
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
CWP No.19213 of 2018 (O&M)
6. Mamta and others
State of Haryana and others
Versus
.....Petitioners
.....Respondents
CWP No.20835 of 2018 (O&M)

7.

CWP No.2348 of 2018 (O&M)

Dr. Sadhna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) (in CWP Nos.29686-2017 and 2348-2018).

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner(s) (in CWP No.20835 and 19213 of 2018).

Mr. Tara Dutt, Advocate for Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s) (in CWP No.19808-2018).

Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner(s) (in CWP No.6957 of 2018 and 7813 of 2018).

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate for respondent/Maharishi Dayanand University.

Mr. Tejeshwar Singh Nerwal, Advocate for Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate for respondent No.3 (in CWP Nos. 7813 and 6957, of 2018).

Mr. Atul Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.7 (in CWP No.2348 of 2018).

Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for respondents No.15 to 20 (in CWP No.29686 of 2017).

Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for respondent/Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

Mr. Rohit Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.21 (in CWP No.29686 of 2017).

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP Nos. 29686 of 2017 (Poonam

and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and anr.), 7813 of 2018 (Kamal Devi and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 6957 of 2018 (Sumesh Kumari and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 19808 of 2018 (Neha Narwal and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 19213 of 2018 (Rekha and another Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 2348 of 2018 (Dr. Sadhna Vs. State of Haryana and ors.) and 20835 of 2018 (Mamta and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.) as similar issue is involved in this bunch of petitions.

The petitioners in these connected petitions were aggrieved of a decision taken by the Director General Higher Education, Haryana whereby the subject of Economics in B.Com was being permitted to be taught by teachers of Commerce instead of teachers of Economics.

Court has been informed that the impugned decision has since been withdrawn and the matter is now under reconsideration at the hands of the Director General, Higher Education, Haryana.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in these writ petitions.

Same are disposed of as infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, in this bunch of petitions also stands disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

February 18, 2020
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No