Minutes of the Meeting of the Quality Advisory Council held on 11-12-2017 under the Chairmanship of the Vice-Chancellor in the Committee Room adjacent to the Vice-Chancellor Office, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

The Quality Advisory Council (QAC) deliberated on the agenda at length and resolved/suggested the following:

1. Confirmation of the Minutes of the Meeting of the QAC held on 05-08-2017

Confirmed the minutes of the meeting of the QAC held on 05-08-2017.

2. Follow-up Action taken Report

Noted the follow up action on the resolutions by the QAC in its meeting held on 05-08-2017.

3. Presentation of AQAR for the Session 2015-16

Annual Quality Assurance Reports (AQARs) for the sessions 2015-16 was presented before the QAC. The Director (IQAC) gave the Criterion-wise presentation highlighting the main points. The Council was satisfied and agreed that the same may be placed with relevant annexures before the Executive Council of the University for approval of the submission of the AQAR to the NAAC.

4. Feedback Analysis for the Session 2016-17

Feedback obtained by University Teaching Departments was placed before the Council by the office of IQAC. On the basis of the feedback so obtained, the Council observed the following:

A) Student Feedback Analysis (Session 2016-17)

S.No.	Parameter	Rating
1.	Quality of Course Content	Very Good
2.	Course coverage and delivery	Very Good
3.	Knowledge base of the teachers	Very Good
4.	Communication skills of the teachers	Very Good
5.	Sincerity and commitment level of teachers	Very Good
6.	Practical and applied content of teaching	Very Good
7.	Teachers' accessibility outside the class	Very Good
8.	Teachers' testing methods to evaluate students	Very Good
9.	Learning outcomes	Very Good
10.	Encouragement by teachers for class participation	Very Good
11.	Fairness of internal assessment	Very Good
12.	Availability of optional papers for students' choice	Good

flow

13.	Students' knowledge about course details, fees etc before admission	Very Good
14.	Adequacy and quality of computer labs/workshops/studios etc.	Good
15.	Library support	Very Good
16.	Support from administrative office	Good
17.	Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities	Good
18.	Student-Teacher relationship	Very Good
19.	Handling of students' grievances	Very Good
20.	Career counseling and placement facility	Good
21.	OVERALL RATING(Keeping in view general teaching-learning environment)	Very Good

The above feedback reveals that the "Very Good" was rated for 16 out of the above 21 parameters, that is, University is doing extremely well in case of "Very Good" rated 16 parameters. However, in case of the remaining 5 parameters, "Good" was rated (points given for most of these parameters are just below 3 but closer to 3 on a 4-point scale, so closer to the rating "Very Good"). These 05 parameters are:

- Availability of optional papers for students' choice
- Adequacy and quality of computer labs/workshops/studios etc.
- Support from administrative office
- Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities
- Career counseling and placement facility

B) Parent Feedback Analysis (Session 2016-17)

Sr.	Particulars	Percentage of the Parents				
No.		Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
		Agree				Disagree
1.	Getting admission in this university is a matter of pride	38.60	50.92	10.26	-	-
2.	The university has a fair admission process	32.10	53.78	10.42	1.02	
3.	My ward is improving his/her knowledge through interaction with faculty	29.41	54.97	14.70	-	-
4.	The university maintains discipline in all aspects	26.39	53.24	18.56	1.34	0.4
5.	This Department has a conducive learning environment	26.50	59.68	12.47	1.33	-
6.	A positive change in the behavior and personality of my ward is clearly noticeable after joining the dept	29.01	52.85	15.82	1.31	0.8
7.	Information is accessible to all at the dept. as well as university level	19.51	58.09	19.12	2.66	0.4
8.	The course curriculum promotes learning experience	27.65	55.30	15.92	1.10	-
9.	All the staff members in the dept. are cooperative	37.38	52.62	8.62	0.6	0.4
10.	Changes introduced in the dept. recent are progressive	27.21	52.69	18.79	0.8	0.4

la si

11.	Teachers take full interest in the progress of my	33.62	46.90	15.92	2.87	0.6
	ward					
12.	My ward always speaks high of the dept. and its	22.49	52.78	20.71	04	-
	faculty at home					
13.	Dept. makes effort for the placement/ future	17.51	41.46	25.27	12.19	3.54
	prospects of students					
14.	My ward is encouraged to participate in	26.82	47.67	17.96	6.43	1.10
	extramural activities					
15.	I would like to recommend this dept. to my friends	32.81	49.44	15.29	2.43	-
	and relatives					

For almost all the above parameters except one, more than 80 per cent of the parents agreed to them. However, for one of the parameters i.e. "Dept. makes effort for the placement/ future prospects of students", those who disagree/strongly disagree about this parameter was only about 16 per cent as others were neutral about it.

C) Alumni Feedback Analysis (Session 2016-17)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Particulars Percentage of Alumni				
110.		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1	I feel proud to be the student of Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak	85	5	-	-	10
2	Admission procedure is very good	42.85	42.85	4.76	4.76	4.76
3	Fee Structure is very nominal	28.57	52.38	9.72	4.76	4.76
4	Nice Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	47.05	47.05	-	-	5.88
5	Faculty is knowledgeable	57.89	36.84	-	-	5.26
6	Faculty is cooperative	42.85	42.85	4.76	-	9.52
7	Library facilities are excellent	72.22	16.66	5.55	-	5.55
8	The learning I had in the University is useful in my career.	61.90	28.57	-	4.76	4.76
9	The developments in the University in recent years are appreciative.	35	45	5	-	15
10	The new courses introduced meet contemporary requirements.	25	60	5	-	10
11	The university is involving alumni in its activities.	26.31	57.89	5.26	5.26	5.26
12	The alumni have a role to play in Academically strengthening the University further.	36.84	47.36	10.52	-	5.26
13	The alumni have a role to play in financially strengthening the University.	23.80	42.85	28.57	-	4.76

lon

14	Formation of Department wise alumni	33.33	47.61	- ",	¥ <u>7</u>	10.52
	associations is a step in the right			6 6		
	direction.	,				
15	The Department administration should take initiative to efficiently enroll and strengthen the alumni-association.	30	60	-	-	10
16	Overall rating of university			Very Goo	d	

In almost all the above parameters, more than 80 per cent of the alumni gave their feedback as Agree/Strongly Agree.

D) Employer Feedback Analysis (Session 2016-17)

How satisfied are you with the student's work performan	Ce Dating of Majority
in each of these areas	Rating of Majority
General communication skills	Agree
2. Technical knowledge/skill	Agree
3. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	Strongly Agree
4. Working as part of a team	Strongly Agree
5. Developing practical solutions to work place problems	Agree
6. Creative in response to workplace challenges	Agree
7. Innovativeness, creativity	Agree
8. Planning and organization skills	Agree
9. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of respon	sibility Strongly Agree
10. Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	Agree
11. Using technology and workplace equipment	Agree
12. Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	Agree
13. Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	Agree
14. Involvement in social activities	Strongly Agree
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	Strongly Agree
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required	Strongly Agree
Kindly give specific, if any for improving our programme / cu	rriculum.
Any other comment(s):	
Your students are more flexible than the students belongi	ng to Metropolitan cities.
Would you like to recruit more students form MDU	Majority of them accepted
Would you refer us to other organization(s)	Majority of them accepted

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with MDU students and the
curriculum?
8

lion

5. Revision of Programme Objectives and Learning Objectives

It is learnt that most of the departments have already stated the learning objectives of the programmes/courses. However, the programme specific outcomes and course outcomes need to be stated and displayed on the website of the university. The Quality Advisory Council of the IQAC, therefore, suggested that the University Teaching Departments of the university may be advised to state devise/revise the programme specific learning outcomes and course outcomes, if necessary, and get uploaded the same on the website of the university.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

Director

Internal Quality Assurance Cell Maharshi Dayanand University ROHTAK Action Taken Report on the Resolutions Passed by the Quality Advisory Council (QAC) of the Maharshi Dayanand University in Its meeting Held on 05-08-2017

1. Confirmation of the Minutes of the Meeting of the QAC held on 15-05-17.

The minutes were confirmed.

2. Follow-up Action Taken Report

The Council noted it.

3. Administrative Audit of the Administrative and Supportive Wings of the University

The process of conducting administrative audit of the administrative and supportive wings of the University has been initiated.

4. Framing Intellectual Property Right Policy

The process of framing Intellectual Property Right Policy has been initiated.

5. Reformation of Rules for Professional Consultancy by University Teachers

The process has been initiated.

6. Addition/Alteration and Substitution of Words in University Vision and Mission

A Committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to make suitable addition/alteration and substitution of words in the University Vision and Mission which gave its recommended on 16-10-2017.

7. Committee to Prepare the SSR for submission of the Same to NAAC

A NAAC Steering Committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor on 17-10-2017. Seven sub committees (Criterion-wise) were constituted by the NAAC Steering Committee of the University.

Director

Internal Quality Assurance ('ell Maharshi Dayanand University

ROHTAK